

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,486	07/16/2003	Till Cramer	DT-6580	9074
30377	7590 07/22/2005		EXAMINER	
	REN, ESQ.	SMITH, MATTHEW J		
ABELMAN FRAYNE & SCHWAB 666 THIRD AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YOR	NEW YORK, NY 10017-5621			
			DATE MAIL ED. 07/22/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/620,486	CRAMER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew J. Smith	3672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 J</u> ı	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2005.						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 11-13</u> is/are rejected.	,						
7) Claim(s) <u>2, 4-10, and 14-17</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
·	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MacDonell (1863928).

MacDonell discloses a concrete drill for a rotary and percussively driven machine comprising a cutting part 10 and a striking part 2 axially limited displaceable relative to the cutting part; the cutting part 10 and the striking part 2 each have, at their facial surfaces, zones for working a bore hole surface; the respective facial surface work zones of the cutting part and the striking part radially overlapping, Fig. 4, upon rotation of the drill; and upon rotation of the drill, the leading end of the cutting part 10 and the striking part 2 form a common mantle head surface at an axial intermediate position (Fig. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacDonell in view of Hjalsten et al. (3259403).

MacDonell discloses a concrete drill for a rotary and percussively driven machine comprising a cutting part 10 and a striking part 2 axially limited displaceable relative to the cutting part; the cutting part 10 and the striking part 2 each have at their facial surfaces zones for working a bore hole surface, the respective facial surface work zones of the cutting part and the striking part radially overlap, Fig. 4 upon rotation of the drill; and the cutting part 10 is spring-biased by a spring 6 that is axially biased against an insertion end but not very wear-resistant material or a hand tool machine.

Hjalsten et al. present very wear resistant hard metal inserts 19 in a percussion drill.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use hard materials, as presented by Hjalsten et al., in order to increase wear of the bit.

It would have been further obvious to use the device in a hand tool since it is well known to fabricate the structure of the MacDonell device in a scale for any size drilling machine.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 4-10, and 14-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 1, filed 16 June 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-3, 10,13, and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of MacDonell. This reference illustrates the overlapping of the striking part and the cutting part at least in the cutting blade 10 path.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Carroll et al. (2859941) depict a striking part 5 overlapping a cutting part 3 (Fig. 3).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-7034. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F, 9-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Bagnel

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3672

MJS MJ3 14 July 2005